

Microwave spectroscopy on a double quantum dot with an on-chip Josephson oscillator

A.W. Holleitner, H. Qin, F. Simmel, B. Irmer, R.H. Blick*, and J.P. Kotthaus
A.V. Ustinov[†] and K. Eberl[‡]

*Center for NanoScience and Sektion Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität,
Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, 80539 München, Germany.*

[†] *Physikalisches Institut III, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erwin-Rommel-Str. 1,
91058 Erlangen, Germany.*

[‡] *Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstr. 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany.*
(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We present measurements on microwave spectroscopy on a double quantum dot with an on-chip microwave source. The quantum dots are realized in the two-dimensional electron gas of an AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructure and are weakly coupled in series by a tunneling barrier forming an 'ionic' molecular state. As a microwave source we employ a Josephson oscillator formed by a long Nb/Al-AlO_x/Nb junction. We find photon assisted tunneling sidebands induced by the Josephson oscillator and compare the results with those using an externally operated microwave source.

07.57Hm;73.40Gk;85.30.Vw

Microwave spectroscopy on quantum dots promises to probe the dynamics of these few electron systems. Most of the experimental work conducted to date has been focused on rather simple spectroscopic tools: a microwave signal is coupled via an antenna or a stripline to the mesoscopic system under test. The photon-induced current through the dots is measured and allows to probe the discrete states of the quantum system directly [1–3]. These results can be described by the Tien-Gordon theory [4] originally developed for a superconductor tunnel junction and more recent theoretical models [5–8]. In order to reveal the dynamics of electrons confined in tunnel coupled dot systems, more intricate spectroscopic tools are required. In the work by Nakamura *et al.* [9] it was shown how to monitor a single tunneling Cooper pair in a superconducting tunnel junction transistor in the time domain. This spurs interest in tracing electrons in coupled quantum dots, since in this case a similar tunnel splitting of the discrete states was found [10,11].

Here we present an on-chip microwave oscillator integrated in a single low-temperature setup with a coupled quantum dot structure. Integrating on-chip microwave sources has the advantage of combining advanced spectrometers easily with mesoscopic devices. Furthermore, the influence of black-body radiation is minimized, since all the electrical connections to the outside world are essentially dc-lines and can thus be heavily filtered [12,13]. As a microwave source we employ a long Josephson tunnel junction (JTJ) with well-defined emission characteristics. These junctions radiate commonly in the range from few GHz up to 600 GHz [14]. Their typical radiation linewidth can be as small as 10^{-6} relative to the emission frequency [15]. Recently, this triggered rapid progress in using these devices in integrated sub-millimeter wave superconducting receivers [16]. The quantum dots are defined in a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) by electron beam written lateral Schottky gates. The versatility of these devices is the possibility to tune the tunnel contacts in a wide range. This allows the straight forward realization of tunnel coupled quantum dots ('covalent artificial molecule') or decoupled dots ('ionic artificial molecule') [11].

In contrast to the excitation spectrum of real atoms or molecules, the spectrum of single or even coupled quantum dots reveals a striking difference in the discrete level structure. For quantum dots it has been shown in a whole variety of experiments that Kohn's theorem [17] prevails [18,19]. The theorem states that only the center-of-mass (CM) degree of freedom couples to a spatially homogenous electromagnetic field. In previous studies of excitations in quantum dots by coupling radiation via antennas only CM excitations were found [11,19,20]. Here we 'move' the radiation source close to the quantum dots, in an attempt to verify whether the inhomogeneity of the near-field radiation affects the electronic excitations. This is in close analogy to the probing of single molecules by scanning near-field microscopy [21].

The setup we used is shown in Fig. 1: the Si-chip with the Nb/Al-AlO_x/Nb Josephson junction is glued on top of the quantum dot AlGaAs/GaAs-chip with photo resist. In the inset of Fig. 1 the quantum dot gate structure used in this work is depicted: Application of an appropriate negative gate voltage defines two quantum dots in the 2DEG of the AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructure with an electron density of $1.7 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}$ at 35 mK (marked by the upper white circle in Fig. 1). By variation of the voltages V_{gA} and V_{gB} applied to the plunger gates denoted in the inset of Fig. 1 the electron configuration of the double dot can be changed. Plotting the current through the system as a function of V_{gA} and V_{gB} results in the charging diagram of Fig. 2. Subsequently, we obtain charging energies of the individual dots of $E_C^A = e^2/2C_\Sigma = 220 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$ and $E_C^B = 205 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}$, respectively. Thus the

'electronic' radii are $r_A = 400$ nm and $r_B = 430$ nm. For the absolute number of electrons in each dot we find: $n_A = 850$ and $n_B = 980$. Hence, the dots are rather classical systems in which the resolution of discrete single particle energies due to the confinement potential is not expected. In the current measurements the interdot coupling is chosen to be weak ($C_{A-B} = 2$ aF), i.e. we see a hexagonal array of points for the charging diagram [22] which corresponds to the 'ionic' coupling limit. All results were obtained in the linear regime (drain/source bias $V_{ds} = 19$ μ V).

In an earlier setup we focused on defining a weak link with a modified atomic force microscope tip directly into the Al-contacts forming the Schottky gates [23,24]. This is of great advantage for probing the microwave response of quantum dots in the absolute near-field limit, since the photon source is located only 100 nm apart from the tunnel barriers. However, these weak links radiate with very large radiation linewidth. Hence, we choose here to employ a well characterized JTJ oscillator placed on top of the quantum dots. The JTJ we use in these measurements is an overlap Nb/Al-AlO_x/Nb long Josephson junction with dimensions 20×400 μ m² (width $\times$ length). In the measurements presented, tuning of the frequency was possible by varying the JTJ critical current with the applied magnetic field and selecting the appropriate bias point at a resonant state. When operated in the flux-flow regime, the JTJ radiation frequencies $f = 2eV/h$ are on the order of 100 – 500 GHz. This is above the Coulomb energy for this particular quantum dot. We employ a finite magnetic field to operate the junction at a Fiske step of the current/voltage characteristic, a self-resonant state. The fundamental cavity resonance frequency of the junction is $f = \bar{c}/(2\ell)$, where $\bar{c}$ is the Swihart velocity and ℓ is the junction length.

In order to characterize the microwave response of the coupled quantum dots we first studied them with a conventional microwave source. The radiation was then transduced by an antenna upon the gate structure of the quantum dots. The charging diagram of the double dot with microwave excitation is shown as a grayscale plot in Fig. 3. The radiation of the far-field source is fixed at 10 GHz. The sidebands are found on only one side, depending on the tuning of the barrier transmission coefficients. In measurements on a similar two-dot device we obtained symmetrically as well as asymmetrically induced sidebands in the charging diagram [25]. However, since we are interested in the alteration of the microwave coupling by the JTJ we chose deliberately to maintain the tuning with only one sideband clearly appearing in the inset of Fig. 3. This finally ensures that we can directly compare the measurements with the conventional microwave source and the on-chip source. The inset shows one of the typical traces from the grayscale plot with the sidebands induced by the frequency dependent absorption. The induced sidebands are marked by arrows – the peak height modulation is due to the specific trace taken out of the charging diagram (marked by the dashed line). A cut along one of the two periodic resonance lines would yield peaks of similar amplitude. The net pumping of electrons leads to a reduction of the absolute current value down to only some 100 fA. Also the noise floor is slightly enhanced by coupling the radiation.

When the on-chip Josephson oscillator is operated as a source with a typical emission frequency of $f \cong 10$ GHz, we observe charging diagrams as the one shown in Fig. 4. The JTJ emission frequency was determined by taking the IV -characteristics. Biasing the JTJ with a current of $I = 1$ μ A we are able to detect sidebands which resemble the ones induced by the far-field source (compare insets of Fig. 3 and 4). The power emitted is then on the

order of $P_{\text{dc}} \sim 20 \mu\text{V} \times 1 \mu\text{A} \approx 20 \text{ pW}$, where $20 \mu\text{V}$ is the voltage drop over the JTJ at 10 GHz. Moreover, the peak broadening is almost identical to the one determined before. As seen, the resonances of the current (marked by lines in the plot) and the induced sidebands (marked by arrows) possess a long term stability. Since the observed resonances for the on-chip source as well as the far-field source are almost identical, we conclude that the photon absorption process only depends on the shape of the local electrostatic potential. Varying the frequency of the radiation for such a tunnel coupled dot system results in the well-known linear relation between the position of the sideband relative to the ground state and the frequency for the case of weak coupling [11,25].

In summary, we find photon-assisted tunneling in a weakly coupled double quantum dot, induced by an on-chip source. This source is realized as a long Josephson junction placed on top of the chip carrying the double dot. We find nearly identical coupling of radiation with the on-chip source and with that of the far-field source. We conclude from this comparison that the photon absorption process depends only on the local electrostatic environment of the quantum dots. Furthermore, this result confirms that Kohn's theorem is valid in the near-field regime, as long as it provides a spatially homogenous radiation field across the excited electronic system. This we expect to change when a Josephson junction is embedded in the dot's gate structure.

We like to thank T. Klapwijk, S.J. Allen, and D. Grundler for extended discussions. This work was funded in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and H. Qin likes to thank the Volkswagen-Stiftung for support.

* Corresponding author: Robert H. Blick, Center for NanoScience and Sektion Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, 80539 München, Germany.
Electronic mail: *robert.blick@physik.uni-muenchen.de*

REFERENCES

- [1] L.P. Kouwenhoven, S. Jauhar, J. Orenstein, P.L. McEuen, Y. Nagamune, J. Motohisa, and H. Sakaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3443 (1994).
- [2] R.H. Blick, R.J. Haug, D.W. van der Weide, K. von Klitzing, and K. Eberl, Appl. Phys. Lett. **67**, 3924 (1995).
- [3] T.H. Oosterkamp, L.P. Kouwenhoven, A.E.A. Koolen, N.C. van der Vaart, and C.J.P.M. Harmans, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1536 (1997).
- [4] P.K. Tien and J.P. Gordon, Phys. Rev. **129**, 647 (1963).
- [5] C.A. Stafford and N.S. Wingreen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1916 (1996).
- [6] T. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 12339 (1997); T.H. Stoof and Yu.V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 1050 (1996).
- [7] M. Grifoni and P. Hänggi, Physics Reports **304**, 229 (1998).
- [8] J. Inarrea, G. Platero, and C. Tejedor, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 4581 (1994).
- [9] Y. Nakamura, Yu.A. Pashkin, and J.S. Tsai, Nature **398**, 786 (1999).
- [10] R.H. Blick, D.W. van der Weide, R.J. Haug, K. Eberl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 689 (1998).
- [11] T.H. Oosterkamp, T. Fujisawa, W.G. van der Wiel, K. Ishibashi, R.V. Hijman, S. Tarucha, and L.P. Kouwenhoven, Nature **395**, 873 (1998).
- [12] A.S. Adourian, S. Yang, and R.M. Westervelt, K.L. Chapman and A.C. Gossard, J. Appl. Phys. **84**, 5808 (1998).
- [13] E.H. Visscher, D.M. Schraven, P. Hadley, and J.E. Mooij, cond-mat/9904382.
- [14] A.V. Ustinov, Physica D **123**, 315-329 (1998).
- [15] V.P. Koshelets, S.V. Shitov, A.V. Shukin, L.V. Filippenko, J. Mygind, and A.V. Ustinov, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 5572 (1997).
- [16] V.P. Koshelets, S.V. Shitov, L.V. Filippenko, A.M. Baryshev, H. Golstein, T. de Graauw, W. Luinge, H. Schaeffer, and H. van de Stadt, Appl. Phys. Lett. **68**, 1273 (1996).
- [17] W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **123**, 1242 (1961).
- [18] D. Pfannkuche and S.E. Ulloa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1194 (1995); J. Weis, R.J. Haug, K. von Klitzing, and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 4019 (1993).
- [19] C.H. Sikorski and U. Merkt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2164 (1989); T. Demel, D. Heitmann, P. Grambow, and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 788 (1990); U. Merkt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1134 (1996); P. Bakshi, D.A. Broido, and K. Kempa, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 7416 (1990).
- [20] T. Fujisawa and S. Tarucha, Superlattices and Microstructures **21**, 247-254 (1997).
- [21] L. Novotny, Appl. Phys. Lett. **69**, 3806 (1996).
- [22] C. Livermore, C.H. Crouch, R.M. Westervelt, K.L. Campman, and A.C. Gossard, Science **274**, 1332 (1996).
- [23] B. Irmer, R.H. Blick, F. Simmel, W. Gödel, H. Lorenz, and J.P. Kotthaus, Appl. Phys. Lett. **73**, 2061 (1998).
- [24] B. Irmer, F. Simmel, R.H. Blick, H. Lorenz, J.P. Kotthaus, M. Bichler, and W. Wegscheider, Superlattices and Microstructures **25**, 785 (1999).
- [25] H. Qin, R.H. Blick, F. Simmel, A.W. Holleitner, J.P. Kotthaus, and K. Eberl, to be submitted (1999).

FIGURES

Fig. 1: Top view of the circuit: The quantum dots are located in the center of the Hall-bar (marked by the upper white circle). An AFM micrograph of the gates forming the double dots is seen in the inset on the upper right side (gA, gB denote the plunger gates operated in the measurements). The Josephson oscillator is placed on top of the quantum dot chip and glued to it with photo resist. The lower white circle indicates the position of the junction itself. Radiation is coupled through the GaAs substrate to the quantum dots (see text for details).

Fig. 2: Charging diagram of the double quantum dot as a grayscale plot without microwave radiation (white: $I < 0$ pA, black: $I > 0.5$ pA). A small forward bias of $V_{ds} = 19$ μ V is applied to monitor the current. The two dots are weakly coupled by the tunnel barrier and produce a periodic lattice with E_C^A and E_C^B denoting the charging energies, as marked by the diamond. The two gate voltages V_{gA} and V_{gB} span the charging diagram. Inset gives a line plot of the direct-current through the double dot. The range of voltage V_{gB} in this graph coincides with the broken line in the gray-scale plot.

Fig. 3: Charging diagram with an identical scan range as in Fig. 2, but under microwave radiation by a far-field source at 10 GHz. The sidebands are found on only one side, depending on the tuning of the tunnel barriers. Inset: Photo current with the sidebands induced by the frequency dependent absorption. Pumping of the electrons leads to a reduction of the absolute current value. The gray lines denote the range of voltage V_{gB} which correlates to the frequency of $f = 10$ GHz on this axis.

Fig. 4: Charging diagram under microwave radiation with the on-chip Josephson oscillator as a source ($f \cong 10$ GHz). Inset gives the photon-induced current through the dot system with the photon assisted tunneling resonances. The peak heights and positions are identical to the plots obtained with the far-field source (compare Fig. 3). This similar pattern indicates that the coupling of radiation of near- and far-field only depends on the local electrostatic environment.

This figure "figure_1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/9910076v2>

This figure "figure_2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/9910076v2>

This figure "figure_3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/9910076v2>

This figure "figure_4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/9910076v2>